

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/444,120 11/19/1999 YOSHIHIKO SHIODA 019441.023 8235 7590 05/23/2003 **DALBERT U SHEFTE** EXAMINER KENNEDY COVINGTON LOBDELL AND HICKMAN GORDON, RAEANN 4200 BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATE CENTER 100 N TRYON STREET

CHARLOTTE, NC 282024006

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3711 20

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>H</i> -`
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/444,120	SHIODA, YOSHIHIKO	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Raeann Gorden	3711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u> 2 March 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims			is
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-24,27 and 28</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,4,6,11-17,24,27</u>	and 28 is/are withdrawn fro	om consideration.	
5)🖂	Claim(s) 7-10 is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1.18-20 and 23 is/are rejected.	·		
7)⊠	Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to	•		
11) 🗌 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊡ approved b)⊡	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No	
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the particular application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a light of the development is made of a plain for domain	,		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		•	on).
15)[] <i>A</i>) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome			
Attachmen	•			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .	
.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 20	

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 18, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Davis (3,168,312). Davis discloses a frame comprising a base, a vertical stand, a horizontal arm attached to the stand, a flexible cord attached to the horizontal arm, and a ball attached to the flexible cord adjacent the ground (see fig 1). Davis further discloses the device utilized for balls of differing weights and densities and may be struck with various types of striking implements (col 2, lines 1-5). Davis gives examples of softballs and baseballs as the type of larger ball that can be accommodated by the device (col 1, lines 65-70).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis. Davis discloses the invention as shown above but fails to include the height of the frame. However, Davis discloses the device may be used for a variety of sports (col 2, lines 30-32). Davis gives examples of using softballs and baseballs. It is obvious the height of the frame would fall between 1 ½ and 5 feet to accommodate the heights associated with sports such as softball and baseball.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 7-10 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant comments with regards to the examining procedures during the prosecution of the present application have been noted. The Examiner apologizes for any inconvenience incurred by the applicant. However, prior art deemed applicable by the Examiner cannot be ignored during any part of the prosecution. In regards to the Davis reference, the rejection is maintained. The structural limitations claimed by applicant are clearly disclosed by Davis as shown above. Applicant argues the method of using the device is different from the method disclosed by Davis. For example, applicant states "while the Davis patent discloses that the retrieving device can be used

Application/Control Number: 09/444,120

Art Unit: 3711

Page 4

with various types of ball, it discloses that the ball is selected to practice the sport for which the ball is intended, I.e. a baseball for practicing baseball, a golf ball for practicing golf, a tennis ball for practicing tennis...... It does not teach or disclose utilizing a ball of a weight and size for one sport being used to practice another sport." The Examiner agrees with applicant's statement in regards to the prior art. However, applicant is not entitled to a patent for an apparatus with identical structural features as the prior art with a different method of use. The method of using an apparatus is not a patentable distinction. As shown above, the apparatus as claimed by applicant is identical to the apparatus disclosed by Davis. While the methods of using the apparatus may be different the structural features are the same.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/444,120

Art Unit: 3711

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 703-308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell can be reached on 703-308-2126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

rg May 21, 2003

> Mark S. Graham Mark S. Grahaminer